



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/340,739	06/28/1999	KIYONOBU KOJIMA	450100-4940	4288

20999 7590 08/12/2003

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.
NEW YORK, NY 10151

EXAMINER

WISDAHL, ERIC D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2615

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/340,739

Applicant(s)

KOJIMA ET AL.

V

Examiner

Eric D Wisdahl

Art Unit

2615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7 and 9-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7 and 9-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9 – 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isashi (U.S. Patent 5, 898, 600) in view of Applicants admitted prior art in further view of Mitsuhashi et al. (U.S. Patent 6, 184, 930).

Regarding Claim 1, Isashi discloses an information processing apparatus capable of selecting and operating any of a plurality of application programs (Column 30 lines 59 – 62) including:

- an image pickup means (Column 30 lines 27 – 36);
- a detecting means for detecting user input (inherent Column 30 lines 63 – 66)
 - wherein the detecting means detects a first operation and a second operation performed by said user (inherent Column 16 lines 31 – 65, Column 25 lines 30 – 40, Column 30 lines 63 – 66 and Column 31 lines 14 – 23); and

Art Unit: 2615

- a control means for controlling an application program activated when the detecting means detects operations (Column 30 lines 63 – 66 and Column 31 lines 1 – 23);
 - wherein the control means, upon detecting a first operation, activates a window for an application program for picking up an image; and
 - wherein the control means, upon detecting a second operation, causes image pickup means to pick up said image (Column 16 lines 31 – 65, Column 25 lines 30 – 40, Column 30 lines 63 – 66 and Column 31 lines 14 – 23).

Isashi does not specifically disclose:

- Information Processing Apparatus as having a GUI adopting windows;
- The detecting means having both the first and second operations performed by using the same push button.

Applicant's admitted prior art teaches:

- Information Processing Apparatus as having a GUI adopting windows;

According to Applicant's admitted prior art, such operating systems (i.e. Windows 95) are typical for use in a notebook or sub-notebook size personal computer to simplify operation of the computer.

Mitsubishi teaches:

- The use of a half-push and full-push operation of a shutter button in order to facilitate two different operations performed by the camera using the same push button (Column 2 lines 33 – 43).

Art Unit: 2615

Such an arrangement is desirable in providing the user with ease of use in performing multiple functions.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to include the GUI adopting windows within the information processing apparatus and the detecting means having both the first and second operations performed by using the same push button in order to simplify the operation of the device.

Regarding Claim 3, Mitsuhashi teaches:

- The use of a half-push and full-push operation of a shutter button in order to facilitate two different operations performed by the camera using the same push button (Column 2 lines 33 – 43).

Such an arrangement is desirable in providing the user with ease of use in performing multiple functions.

Regarding Claim 4, see examiners notes Claim 1.

Regarding Claim 6, see examiners notes Claim 3.

Regarding Claim 7, see examiners notes for claim 1. Isashi does not disclose the storage medium for storing a program in a manner readable by an information processing apparatus. The storage medium is inherent in a system with a processor, in that a memory is needed to contain a program that controls the processor. Isashi discloses a system with a processor in Column 15

Art Unit: 2615

lines 17 – 26. It is noted that the CPU drives the components of the camera and facilitates the computations necessary to produce the images.

Regarding Claim 9, see examiners notes Claim 3.

Regarding Claim 10, Isashi discloses:

- Controlling means holds an image picked up by said image pickup means for preview in the activated window for said application program while said first operation is performed (Column 16 lines 31 – 54).

Regarding Claim 11, Isashi discloses:

- **If** the application program for picking up said image **is not** active **when** said detecting means detects first operation:
 - **Before** said controlling means activates a window for said application program for picking up said image, said controlling means boots said application program (Column 16 lines 31 – 65, Column 25 lines 30 – 40, Column 30 lines 63 – 66 and Column 31 lines 14 – 23. It is seen that the controlling means places the display unit of the information processing apparatus as that of the conventional viewfinder of a camera when in a photographic mode. As such, the system either uses a window of an application previously in place or creates a new window any time that the system is placed in the photographic mode. Such an activation of the

application, or boot, would necessarily take place before the activation of the window of the application if no such window exists).

Regarding Claim 12, Isashi does not specifically disclose:

- Controlling means which begins storing a motion image picked up by said image pick up means **when** said detecting means detects a second operation and stops storing said motion image when said detecting means detects said second operation again.

Official Notice:

- Controlling means which begins storing a motion image picked up by said image pick up means **when** said detecting means detects a second operation and stops storing said motion image when said detecting means detects said second operation again.

Such an arrangement is well known in the art for the start and stop of recording motion images by the operation of a single button in multiple instances, each causing the opposite event from the previous instance of the button depression, in many conventional video cameras to obviate the need for multiple control buttons to perform the start and stop functions of recording. Such an operation is also known as toggling a control. (From Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary 10th Ed. Toggle: to switch between two options especially of an electronic device usually by pressing a single button or a simple key combination)

Regarding Claim 13, see examiners notes Claim 10.

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding Claim 14, see examiners notes Claim 11.

Regarding Claim 15, see examiners notes Claim 12.

Regarding Claim 16, see examiners notes Claim 10.

Regarding Claim 17, see examiners notes Claim 11.

Regarding Claim 18, see examiners notes Claim 12.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.


Art Unit: 2615

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric D Wisdahl whose telephone number is (703) 305-4915. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 5:00 Mon- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-5399 for regular communications and (703) 308-5399 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the technology center 2600 customer service office which can be reached at telephone number (703) 306-0377.

EDW
July 29, 2003



ANDREW CHRISTENSEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600